IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY APPLICATION NO.419 OF 2005
IN
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.120 OF 2003
IN
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.492 OF 2001
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.646 OF 1998
IN
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.144 OF 1998
IN
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.145 OF 1998

Sant Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. Petitioners
(In C.P.No.646 of 1998)

and

Aviat Chemicals Pvt.Ltd. Petitioners
(In C.P.No.647 of 1998)

and

Jagmohansingh Arora and others Applicants

versus

Manjitsingh Chawla & others Respondents

Mr.Kamal Khatta i/by Dhru & Co. for respondents
Applicant present in person.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 29th June 2006

PC :

1. In the company applications which are taken out for setting aside an order dismissing an

earlier company petition taken out in the year 2001, for restoration, in the morning session, a junior advocate of S.K.Legal Associates appeared and sought time. It was made clear to her that the matter has been adjourned repeatedly and would not be adjourned hereafter. On earlier occasions one of the applicants appeared in person and complained that he has differences with the advocate who has been engaged by him. Today also when the matter was kept back in the morning session and it was called out after recess, same party in person makes a grievance that the advocate/ counsel has returned the brief and therefore, he needs time to make alternate arrangement.

2. This request was made even on earlier occasions. In these circumstances, as a last chance, this matter stands adjourned till tomorrow i.e. 30th June 2006. No further adjournment on any ground will be granted and the applicant, whether he is appearing in person or through advocate, is directed to proceed with the matter tomorrow.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)